Studies in Learning and Teaching (SiLeT)
Homepage: https://scie-journal.com/index.php/SiLeT
Email: silet@scie-journal.com

p-ISSN: 2722-399X; e-ISSN: 2722-1857
SiLeT, Vol. 6, No. 2, August 2025
Page 275-287
©2025 SiLeT

Re-imagining Transformative Pedagogies in Higher Education for Social Change and Equity

***N Dyantyi[1], B Mkabile-Masebe[1]**
[1]Department of Business Management Education, Faculty of Education, Walter Sisulu University, South Africa

Article Info

Article history:

Received October 16, 2024
Revised December 21, 2024
Accepted January 12, 2025
Available Online August 31, 2025

Keywords:

Agenda 2063;
Higher education;
Social change and equity;
Transformative pedagogies;

ABSTRACT

In the context of growing inequalities and diverse student populations, traditional pedagogical models often fail to address the complex socio-economic and cultural realities students face. This qualitative conceptual discourse argues that student-centred learning, critical thinking, reflexivity, and collaborative and participatory learning approaches are crucial for cultivating inclusive learning environments that empower all students, particularly those from marginalised communities. The study findings revealed that these approaches enhance social awareness and responsibility, promote inclusivity and diversity, and empower marginalised communities. Ultimately, this paper recommends that re-imagined transformative pedagogies should prioritise inclusive curricula, active and collaborative learning, and culturally responsive teaching to foster social change and equity in higher education. Higher Education Institutions (HEI) must integrate technology thoughtfully, promote student agency, and engage with communities. For long-term success, continuous professional development for lecturers and institutional policy changes are essential. This aligns with the Agenda 2063 and the Sustainable Development Goals (Goal 4) by promoting quality education, inclusive education, reducing inequalities, and fostering social change, contributing to Africa's development and global equity.

https://doi.org/10.46627/silet

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of higher education, traditional pedagogical approaches are increasingly inadequate in addressing the pressing challenges of social inequality and injustice (Osman & Hornsby, 2017). Despite various initiatives aimed at promoting diversity, inclusion, and equity, higher education institutions often perpetuate existing social hierarchies through conventional teaching methods that fail to engage with students' lived experiences and diverse backgrounds (Cappiali, 2023). This disconnect between pedagogy and social realities hinders the potential for transformative education that can drive meaningful social change. de Kadt (2020) avers that the persistence of these inequities calls for a re-imagining of transformative pedagogies that impart knowledge and empower students as active agents of change. This necessitates an intentional shift towards pedagogical practices that are critically reflective, culturally responsive, and rooted in social justice. However, there is a significant gap in the existing literature and practice regarding how these transformative pedagogies can be effectively implemented in higher education to foster equity and social change (Ngubane & Makua, 2022). This paper seeks to address this gap by exploring innovative pedagogical strategies that align with the principles of social justice, examining their impact on student engagement, and assessing their potential to disrupt entrenched inequalities within higher education. Through this reimagining of

transformative pedagogies, the study aims to contribute to developing an educational framework that supports equity and fosters a more just and inclusive society.

The concept of transformative pedagogies in higher education is a vital approach to fostering social change and equity (Osman & Hornsby, 2017). This educational model emphasizes critical thinking, reflection, and action to challenge and transform existing societal norms and structures that perpetuate inequality and injustice. de Kadt (2020) claims that by engaging students in a learning process that is not just about the acquisition of knowledge but also about the application of that knowledge to solve real-world problems, higher education institutions can play a significant role in molding future leaders who are conscious of social issues and committed to promoting social justice.

The current literature highlights the lack of concrete frameworks and strategies for effectively implementing transformative pedagogies in higher education to promote social justice and equity. Novel contributions of this study include an exploration of current challenges in higher education, the need for social change and equity, the purpose and significance of re-imagining pedagogies, and culturally responsive teaching practices that align with social justice principles. By addressing this gap, the research aims to establish actionable pedagogical approaches that empower students as active agents of change in their communities. Against this background, this study explores the re-imagined transformative pedagogies in higher education institutions for social change and equity.

The landscape of higher education currently faces many complex and multifaceted challenges, impacting its role in fostering social change and promoting equity Briffett, 2024). First and foremost, the evolving demands of the job market necessitate a dynamic curriculum that includes practical skills and interdisciplinary approaches Cappiali, 2023). This requires institutions to constantly update and revise their course offerings, a task that is often hindered by bureaucratic processes and resistance to change. Moreover, the increasing cost of higher education has become a significant barrier for many students, exacerbating issues of access and equity (Singh, 2017). The high tuition fees and associated costs put higher education out of reach for a significant portion of the population, particularly for marginalised and underrepresented groups (Ajani, 2023). This not only limits individual opportunities but also widens societal inequities. Additionally, the rapid advancement of technology poses both opportunities and challenges. While it offers new methods for delivering education and engaging students, there is a digital divide that can further marginalise students who lack access to necessary technological resources. Institutions must find ways to leverage technology inclusively, ensuring that it acts as a tool for equity rather than a barrier. Ngubane and Makua (2022) revealed that the traditional pedagogical approaches in higher education are also under scrutiny, and there is a growing call for pedagogies that are not only transformative but also responsive to the diverse needs and backgrounds of students. This includes developing curricula that are culturally relevant, employ critical thinking, and encourage active participation to prepare students to be agents of social change. Furthermore, the mental health crisis among students is a growing concern, with an ever-increasing number of students facing mental health issues (Campbell, 2022). Institutions are challenged to provide adequate support services and to create an environment that not only acknowledges but actively addresses these concerns. Lastly, the question of sustainability and environmental responsibility is becoming increasingly important. Vally (2023) asserts that higher education institutions are being called upon to lead by example, integrating sustainability into their operations, research, and teaching. It demands a re-imagination of traditional practices and pedagogies to create an equitable, inclusive, and responsive higher education system that can effectively contribute to social change (Schwittay, 2023).

Hlatshwayo and Shawa (2020) state that the imperative for social change and equity in our modern society cannot be overstated. Across the globe, systemic inequalities perpetuate cycles of poverty, marginalisation, and injustice, affecting millions of lives and stifling potential (Mullooly, 2022). This reality calls for a transformative approach in all sectors, including education, where the grounds for change can be deeply rooted and far-reaching. Equity in opportunities, access,

and treatment is essential in dismantling the barriers that divide us and fostering a more inclusive, fair, and just society. Higher education plays a pivotal role in this transformation (Ajani, 2023). It is not just about imparting knowledge; it is about shaping the leaders, innovators, and thinkers who can drive social change. By re-imagining pedagogies to prioritize social justice and equity, colleges and universities can become catalysts for change, equipping students with the understanding and skills to challenge the status quo. This involves diversifying curricula to include multiple perspectives and adopting inclusive teaching practices that acknowledge and address every student's varied needs and potential (Osman & Hornsby, 2017). Achieving social change and equity also requires a collective effort beyond the classroom walls (Schwittay, 2023). It involves collaboration between educational institutions, communities, policymakers, and individuals to create systemic change. Vally (2023) states that by fostering an environment that values diversity, encourages critical thinking, and promotes social responsibility, we can build a foundation for a more equitable future. This shift towards transformative pedagogies in higher education is not merely an academic exercise; it is a crucial step towards realising a society where equity and justice are not ideals but realities (Briffett, 2024).

The re-imagination of pedagogies in higher education is crucial for fostering social change and promoting equity (Campbell, 2022). This approach is not just about altering teaching methods but fundamentally transforming how educational institutions perceive and enact the process of learning. Hlatshwayo and Shawa (2020) claim that by centring pedagogies around inclusivity, collaboration, and critical thinking, educators can prepare students not only to excel in their careers but also to become conscientious citizens who are aware of and engaged with the world's social complexities. This shift aims to dismantle traditional hierarchies within the classroom, encouraging a more democratic and participatory learning environment where every voice is valued (Mullooly, 2022).

Moreover, re-imagined pedagogies emphasise the importance of integrating real-world issues into the curriculum, thus bridging the gap between academic knowledge and societal challenges (Lupinacci, 2020). This approach encourages students to apply their learning to address pressing issues such as inequality, environmental sustainability, and social justice. By doing so, education becomes a powerful tool for social change, equipping students with the skills, knowledge, and critical awareness needed to contribute positively to society (Cappiali, 2023). It transforms learners from passive recipients of information into active agents of change.

Young (2024) declares that the significance of these pedagogical changes also lies in their potential to make higher education more accessible and relevant to a diverse student body. Traditional educational models often marginalise or fail to fully engage students from various cultural, economic, and social backgrounds. Re-imagined pedagogies, however, seek to recognise and value the diverse perspectives and experiences that students bring to the classroom, thus fostering a more inclusive and equitable learning environment (Ajani, 2023). This inclusivity not only enhances the learning experience for all students but also mirrors the pluralistic nature of society itself, preparing students to navigate and contribute to a diverse world (Nxumalo & Adair, 2019).

The push towards re-imagining pedagogies in higher education is significant because it represents a broader shift towards recognising the role of education in addressing global challenges (de Kadt, 2020). By embedding principles of equity, social justice, and sustainability into the curriculum, higher education institutions can play a pivotal role in shaping a more equitable and sustainable future. Schwittay (2023) indicated that this transformative approach to pedagogy not only enriches students' academic and personal development but also aligns the goals of higher education with the urgent need for social change. In doing so, it reaffirms the importance of education as a cornerstone for building a more just and equitable society.

Decoloniality encompasses not just the formation of a profound and knowledge-based movement aimed at securing freedom and liberation for people previously under colonial dominion, but it also represents a way of understanding and engaging in decolonial thought and action, as highlighted by Mignolo (2011). It emerged from vigorous struggles against the slave

trade, imperialism, state-endorsed racial segregation, new forms of colonialism, and the adverse effects of Euro-North American centrism, as discussed by Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2015). Quijano (2007) defines decoloniality as a countermeasure to Western powers' direct political, social, and cultural control. This indicates that decoloniality involves socio-political and economic activities that challenge Western colonial and modern frameworks (Mignolo, 2011). It stands against the forces of expansionism, imperialism, and colonialism, focusing on unravelling the strategies of division and exploitation inherent in these systems (Mignolo, 2014).

Decoloniality provides a powerful lens through which transformative pedagogies in higher education can be re-imagined, fostering social change and equity. Decolonial thought seeks to dismantle the intellectual and structural dominance that shapes educational systems by challenging the profoundly ingrained Euro-North American centrism. As Mignolo (2011) and Quijano (2007) suggest, decoloniality is not just a theoretical framework but an active practice that disrupts traditional power dynamics, calling for the incorporation of Indigenous, local, and marginalized knowledge systems. In re-imagining transformative pedagogies, this framework pushes educators to question whose knowledge is being taught and valued, advocating for a curriculum that reflects diverse cultural and epistemic traditions (Mendoza, 2020). This aligns with the broader goal of creating higher education spaces that promote academic freedom and recognize the importance of social justice and historical context in shaping learning environments. This study explores re-imagined transformative pedagogies in higher education institutions to promote social change and equity.

RESEARCH METHOD

The methodology adopted in this study is an integrative literature review that constructs a comprehensive understanding by amalgamating knowledge categorized into distinct themes (Pálsdóttir & Jóhannsdóttir, 2021). These themes elucidate the paper's objectives, deepening the prevailing scholarly discourse surrounding transformative pedagogies in higher education. To achieve this, thematic and narrative analyses were employed, facilitating the synthesis of literature retrieved from relevant academic publication databases by applying specific search terms. The integrative literature review methodology offers a systematic approach to exploring the evolution of pedagogical strategies that promote equity and social change. By employing both thematic and narrative analyses, this method synthesizes knowledge from a broad range of academic sources, identifying key themes related to transformative pedagogy and its potential to disrupt traditional power dynamics in higher education. The review gathers literature on decoloniality and teaching pedagogies through targeted search terms, constructing a comprehensive understanding of how these pedagogical approaches can reframe university curricula to address social injustices and promote equitable learning environments. The thematic analysis categories insights into distinct themes, offering a structured reflection on the prevailing scholarly discourse, while the narrative analysis allows for a deeper exploration of how these themes collectively contribute to re-imagining higher education for a more just and inclusive future.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Current State of Higher Education

Analysis of traditional pedagogical approaches

Traditional pedagogical approaches have long shaped the landscape of education, often emphasizing a one-size-fits-all methodology. One such approach is the Lecture Method, which is arguably the oldest form of education (Ajani & Simmonds, 2022). This method involves an instructor delivering an oral presentation to a class, often with minimal student interaction. While it allows for the efficient transmission of knowledge, especially in large classes, it has been criticized for promoting passive learning and limiting critical thinking and engagement among students. The lecture method often assumes that all students learn best through listening, overlooking diverse learning styles and needs (Campbell, 2022).

Another traditional approach is the Rote Learning method, which emphasizes the memorization of information by repetition (Bozalek & Zembylas, 2017). This method is often used for learning facts, figures, and formulas. While it can be effective for subjects that require recall of discrete pieces of information, rote learning is criticised for its failure to foster deep understanding or to develop higher-order thinking skills. It does not encourage students to make connections between pieces of information or to apply knowledge in new contexts, which are critical skills in today's rapidly changing world (Atkins & Duckworth, 2019).

The Case Study Method, often used in business and law schools, presents students with real-world scenarios to analyze, discuss, and solve (Mullooly, 2022). This approach encourages practical learning and critical thinking, as students must navigate complex situations and consider various perspectives. However, its effectiveness can be limited by the instructor's ability to select diverse and relevant cases and the students' prior knowledge and engagement levels. While it offers a more interactive learning experience, it can still perpetuate a traditional hierarchy in the classroom, with the instructor as the knowledge gatekeeper (Moja et al., 2015).

The Socratic Method, based on the teaching practices of the ancient philosopher Socrates, is a form of cooperative argumentative dialogue between individuals, based on asking and answering questions to stimulate critical thinking and to draw out ideas and underlying presumptions (Lupinacci, 2020). It is highly praised for its ability to promote deep understanding, critical thinking, and active learning. However, its success heavily relies on the skill of the instructor in facilitating discussion and the willingness of students to participate. In environments where students are accustomed to more passive learning styles or where there is a significant power distance between students and teachers, the Socratic Method may be challenging to implement effectively (Boylan et al., 2023). Each of these traditional pedagogical approaches has its strengths and limitations. The key to re-imagining transformative pedagogies in higher education lies in recognizing these limitations and seeking innovative methods that promote inclusivity, engagement, and critical thinking, aligning with social change and equity goals.

Rethinking pedagogical approaches has profound implications for social change and equity (Kalisz, 2024). By adopting educational methods that are inclusive, culturally responsive, and tailored to meet the diverse needs of students, we can dismantle barriers to learning and participation that have historically marginalized certain groups. This shift towards more equitable pedagogy not only facilitates a more diverse and inclusive learning environment but also prepares students to engage critically with the world around them, fostering a generation of informed citizens equipped to address social injustices (Nxumalo & Adair, 2019). Furthermore, by embedding principles of equity and social justice within the curriculum, educators can inspire students to become agents of change, using their knowledge and skills to advocate for a more equitable society. In essence, rethinking how we teach is crucial in creating a more just and equitable world.

Impact of these Approaches on Social Change and Equity

The impact of traditional pedagogical approaches on social change and equity is multifaceted, reflecting both their enduring influence on educational practices and the growing recognition of their limitations in meeting the diverse needs of students. For instance, the Lecture Method, with its one-directional flow of information, often reinforces existing societal hierarchies by privileging certain voices and perspectives over others (Kalisz, 2024). This can inadvertently perpetuate systemic biases, as the content and the manner of teaching may not reflect the diverse backgrounds and experiences of the student body. Furthermore, by not actively engaging students or acknowledging different learning styles, this method can hinder the full participation of all students, particularly those from marginalized communities, thereby impacting their educational outcomes and opportunities for social mobility (Young, 2024).

Rote Learning, with its emphasis on memorization without understanding, also poses challenges to social change and equity (Ngubane & Makua, 2022). It does not equip students with

the critical thinking skills necessary to question existing norms and contribute to societal progress. Instead, it promotes a passive acceptance of knowledge as fixed and unchangeable, limiting students' capacity to think independently or innovate. Carmichael and Gabi (2021) emphasize that this approach can disproportionately affect students who might excel in more interactive or application-based learning environments, potentially widening the gap between different groups of students and reinforcing educational inequities.

Conversely, approaches like the Case Study Method and the Socratic Method, which encourage analysis, discussion, and critical thinking, hold more promise for promoting equity and fostering social change (Peterson et al.,2023). These methods can empower students by valuing their voices and perspectives, thereby contributing to a more inclusive learning environment. However, their effectiveness in achieving these goals largely depends on their implementation. For instance, ensuring that case studies are diverse and relevant to all students can help bridge cultural and social divides, enhancing students' understanding of different societal issues and their roles in addressing them (Kalisz, 2024). Similarly, the Socratic Method's emphasis on dialogue and inquiry can cultivate a classroom culture where all students feel valued and empowered, promoting equity and preparing students to be critical thinkers and active participants in society (Briffett, 2024).

Case Study Method and the Socratic Method resonate with decolonial principles by fostering critical thinking, dialogue, and inclusivity. These methods can challenge traditional power dynamics in the classroom by valuing diverse voices and perspectives, contributing to an inclusive and transformative learning environment. For example, when case studies reflect diverse cultural and societal contexts, they bridge epistemic divides and promote an understanding of global and local issues through a decolonial lens (Colpani et al., 2022). The Socratic Method, emphasizing inquiry and dialogue, aligns with decoloniality by creating spaces where marginalized perspectives are recognized and intellectual hierarchies are disrupted (Mendoza, 2020). By centering these pedagogies within a framework that incorporates Indigenous and local knowledge systems, educators can re-imagine transformative teaching practices that advance equity and foster meaningful social change, as advocated by Mignolo (2011) and Quijano (2007).

Case Studies Highlighting the Need for Transformation

Exploring transformative pedagogies in higher education reveals a pressing need for systemic change to foster social equity and justice. Numerous case studies across various institutions have underscored the critical gaps and challenges within existing educational frameworks. For instance, a study at a metropolitan university highlighted how traditional lecture-based teaching methods failed to effectively engage students from diverse backgrounds (Lupinacci, 2020). This case pointed towards the necessity of adopting more inclusive and participatory pedagogical approaches that recognize and value the diverse experiences and knowledge students bring to the classroom.

Another case study focused on a community college's efforts to implement service-learning programs as part of its curriculum (Moja et al., 2015). The findings revealed that such experiential learning opportunities significantly enhanced students' understanding of social issues and their sense of responsibility towards contributing to societal change. However, it also exposed the need for more robust support systems for faculty to integrate these practices into their teaching effectively. This case illustrates the transformational potential of pedagogies that connect learning with real-world social issues but also highlights the need for institutional support in realizing this potential.

Examining an online learning platform designed to increase access to higher education for marginalized communities revealed both opportunities and obstacles (Bell, 2019). While the platform succeeded in reaching a broader audience, the case study uncovered technological and accessibility barriers that prevented some students from fully benefiting from the educational offerings. This scenario highlights the importance of not only rethinking pedagogical strategies

but also addressing the infrastructural and systemic inequalities that can hinder the impact of such innovations. Together, these case studies illuminate the urgent need for transformative changes in higher education pedagogies to achieve social change and equity.

Principles of Transformative Pedagogies

Student-centered learning is an essential principle of transformative pedagogy in higher education, aiming to foster social change and equity (Kalisz, 2024). This approach shifts the focus from the teacher to the student, positioning learners at the heart of the educational experience. It encourages students to take ownership of their learning, thereby enhancing their motivation and engagement. Centering education around the student's needs, interests, and experiences promotes a deeper understanding of the material, facilitating personal growth and development. Student-centered learning supports the cultivation of critical thinking skills, empowering students to challenge existing knowledge and contribute to societal transformation (Atkins & Duckworth, 2019).

Critical thinking and reflexivity are pivotal components of transformative pedagogies, serving as catalysts for social change and equity in higher education. Critical thinking involves analyzing and evaluating an issue to form a judgment, enabling students to question conventional knowledge and beliefs (Boylan et al., 2023). Reflexivity complements this by encouraging learners to reflect upon their own perspectives, biases, and the societal structures that shape their thoughts. This introspective process fosters an awareness of the self in relation to the world, promoting a more profound understanding of social injustices (Mullooly, 2022). Together, critical thinking and reflexivity equip students with the tools to challenge and transform oppressive systems, thereby contributing to a more equitable society.

This aligns with decolonial theory, which seeks to dismantle colonial legacies and empower marginalized voices in higher education. By encouraging students to analyze and evaluate dominant narratives, critical thinking helps them question the knowledge systems rooted in colonialism, as Yountae (2020) noted. Reflexivity deepens this engagement by prompting learners to examine their positionality and the inherent biases that may arise from societal structures influenced by colonial histories. This reflective practice cultivates an awareness of social injustices, aligning with Colpani's (2022) assertions that understanding oneself in relation to the world is crucial. Together, these concepts enable students to challenge oppressive systems and foster a critical consciousness necessary for decolonization efforts, ultimately contributing to a more equitable society.

Collaborative and participatory learning is another core principle of transformative pedagogies, emphasizing the importance of shared knowledge creation and active engagement in learning (Young, 2024). This approach encourages students to work together, leveraging diverse perspectives and experiences to deepen understanding and foster innovation. Learners develop essential skills such as communication, problem-solving, and leadership by participating in group activities, discussions, and projects. Collaborative and participatory learning enhances academic outcomes and prepares students to engage in democratic processes and collective action, pivotal in driving social change and advancing equity (Bell, 2019).

Culturally responsive teaching is a cornerstone of transformative pedagogies aimed at acknowledging and respecting all students' cultural backgrounds and experiences (Ajani & Simmonds, 2022). This approach integrates students' cultural knowledge into the curriculum and pedagogical practices, creating an inclusive and affirming learning environment. Culturally responsive teaching challenges the Eurocentric paradigms dominating higher education, promoting a more diverse and equitable representation of knowledge (Ncanywa, 2023). By valuing and incorporating the rich cultural assets students bring to the classroom, this method fosters a sense of belonging and empowerment, enabling learners from marginalized communities to thrive academically and contribute to societal transformation (Colpani et al., 2022).

Impact on Social Change and Equity

Enhancing Social Awareness and Responsibility

Enhancing social awareness and responsibility in higher education is a cornerstone of transformative pedagogies for social change and equity (Cappiali, 2023). This approach involves integrating curricula that impart academic knowledge and sensitize students to the complexities of societal issues, encouraging them to think critically about their roles and responsibilities towards addressing these challenges. Ajani (2023) avers that by fostering a culture of empathy, ethical reasoning, and civic engagement, educators can inspire students to become proactive citizens committed to positively impacting their communities and beyond. This pedagogical shift necessitates a departure from traditional lecture-based teaching towards more interactive, discussion-led classes where real-world problems are analyzed and solutions are collaboratively sought, thereby preparing students to navigate and contribute to a rapidly changing social landscape.

Promoting Inclusivity and Diversity

Osman and Hornsby (2017) state that promoting inclusivity and diversity within the higher education ecosystem is pivotal for cultivating an environment where all voices are heard, respected, and valued. This dimension of transformative pedagogy emphasizes the creation of equitable learning spaces that recognize and celebrate differences in race, gender, sexuality, religion, and socioeconomic status, among others. Bozalek and Zembylas (2017) argue that educators can challenge biases, dismantle stereotypes, and encourage critical reflection among students by integrating diverse perspectives into the curriculum and adopting inclusive teaching practices. Such an educational framework enriches students' learning experiences and equips them with the cultural competencies necessary to thrive in a globalized world. Fostering an inclusive academic environment is essential for preparing students to engage respectfully and effectively in diverse settings, thereby advancing social equity (Ncanywa, 2023).

Empowering Marginalised Communities

Ngubane and Makua (2022) declare that empowering marginalized communities through higher education involves adopting pedagogical strategies that address the barriers these groups face and leverage their unique strengths and perspectives. This entails designing programs and initiatives that specifically target the educational upliftment of underrepresented populations, providing them with the tools, resources, and support needed to succeed. This is later supported by Kalisz (2024), who states that institutions can play a crucial role in levelling the playing field and fostering social mobility by facilitating access to higher education and creating pathways for academic and professional advancement. Moreover, integrating the knowledge and experiences of marginalized communities into the broader educational discourse enriches the learning environment for all students, promoting a deeper understanding of social justice and equity issues (Young, 2024). Ultimately, empowering these communities within the context of higher education is a critical step towards achieving a more inclusive and equitable society.

Decolonial theory emphasizes the importance of reclaiming knowledge and recognizing the value of diverse perspectives, which directly correlates with the need to design educational programs that address underrepresented populations' barriers and utilize their unique cultural strengths, by facilitating access and creating pathways for academic and professional advancement, as Yountae (2020), suggests that institutions can disrupt the colonial legacy that perpetuates social inequities. Furthermore, incorporating the experiences and knowledge of marginalized communities enhances the educational discourse, fostering a more inclusive environment that cultivates critical awareness of social justice issues, thus contributing to a broader movement toward an equitable society, as Mendoza (2020) noted. This intersection of empowerment and decolonial thought propels the transformation of higher education into a platform for collective growth and social change.

Case Studies

Comparative Analysis of Traditional Vs. Transformative Pedagogical Approaches

In the realm of higher education, traditional pedagogical approaches have often emphasized a one-size-fits-all methodology, focusing on the transmission of knowledge from educator to student, often in a lecture-based format (Mullooly, 2022). This model prioritizes the memorization of information and standardized testing as measures of success, largely ignoring students' diverse learning needs and backgrounds. Traditional methods have been critiqued for their lack of engagement with critical thinking skills and minimal encouragement for students to apply knowledge in real-world contexts, potentially leaving graduates ill-prepared for the complexities of contemporary societal challenges (Lupinacci, 2020).

On the other hand, transformative pedagogies are characterized by their student-centered approach, aiming to foster critical thinking, self-reflection, and the application of knowledge to social issues (Hlatshwayo & Shawa, 2020). This approach encourages educators to consider students' unique backgrounds, learning styles, and experiences, thereby promoting inclusivity and equity in the learning environment. Schwittay (2023) claims that transformative pedagogies often incorporate experiential learning, community engagement, and interdisciplinary approaches to education, challenging students to question existing knowledge structures and actively engage in their learning process. This method not only aims to impart knowledge but also to empower students as agents of social change.

Comparatively, while traditional pedagogies focus on the rote learning of content, transformative pedagogies aim to develop a deeper understanding and critical engagement with the material, fostering personal and societal growth (Boylan et al., 2023). The shift towards transformative pedagogies in higher education reflects a broader recognition of the need for teaching methods that impart knowledge and prepare students to navigate and contribute to a rapidly changing world. By prioritizing social change and equity, transformative pedagogies offer a compelling alternative to traditional approaches, suggesting a path forward that values diversity, critical engagement, and the development of students as well-rounded, socially conscious individuals (Colpani et al., 2022).

Lessons Learned

- Importance of Inclusive Curriculum Design: The curriculum must reflect diverse perspectives and histories, acknowledging and valuing the contributions of all societal groups. This approach helps in addressing systemic biases and fosters a more inclusive academic environment.
- Active Learning and Critical Thinking: Pedagogies that encourage active learning and critical thinking, rather than passive absorption of information, are more effective in fostering transformative learning. These methods empower students to question, critique, and apply knowledge in ways that can lead to social change.
- Collaborative Learning Environments: Creating spaces where students can learn from each other, share experiences, and collaborate on projects leads to a deeper understanding of equity and social justice issues. It also builds community and solidarity among students from diverse backgrounds.
- Integration of Technology: Thoughtful integration of technology can enhance access and participation in higher education, especially for marginalized groups. However, it's important to be aware of the digital divide and ensure that technological solutions do not inadvertently exclude some students.
- Continuous Reflection and Adaptation: Institutions and educators must continuously reflect on and adapt their practices to respond to the evolving needs of their students and society. This includes being open to feedback and willing to make changes based on what is learned.

Best Practices

- Implement Service-Learning Projects: Service-learning projects that connect academic content with real-world social issues can be a powerful tool for fostering empathy, social responsibility, and a commitment to equity.
- Foster a Culture of Respect and Empathy: Establishing ground rules for respectful communication and demonstrating empathy in the classroom can create a safe and supportive learning environment for all students.
- Utilize Interdisciplinary Approaches: Drawing on multiple disciplines to address complex social issues can provide students with a more holistic understanding and equip them with diverse tools for social change.
- Promote Student Agency: Encouraging students to take an active role in their learning and in broader social change efforts empowers them and reinforces the notion that they can make a difference.
- Engage with the Community: Building partnerships with community organizations and engaging with local issues can make learning more relevant and impactful for students, while also contributing to positive social change.

CONCLUSION

Transformative pedagogies within higher education hold the key to driving social change and advancing equity. Through the adoption of inclusive, participatory, and critical teaching methods, educators have the unique opportunity to empower students, enabling them to question and confront systemic inequalities. This approach not only nurtures critical thinking but also positions students as active participants in societal transformation. By valuing diverse perspectives and fostering an environment that champions social justice, these pedagogies ensure that universities and colleges contribute significantly to building a more just and equitable society. The implementation of transformative pedagogies in the educational sphere is more than just a methodological shift; it represents a fundamental paradigm shift towards creating a more inclusive and equitable learning environment. By focusing on these innovative teaching strategies, higher education institutions can play a pivotal role in societal reform, imparting knowledge that is both profound and impactful. This, in turn, opens avenues for all students to succeed, not just academically, but in becoming catalysts for change in their own right. Ultimately, the essence of transformative pedagogies lies in their potential to mould higher education into a powerful instrument for social change, ensuring equal opportunities for learning and growth for every student.

Re-imagining transformative pedagogies in higher education holds significant theoretical and practical implications for social change and equity. Theoretically, this initiative challenges traditional educational models by advocating for inclusive teaching and learning processes that advance social justice. It requires a critical evaluation of existing curricula, teaching methods, and assessment practices to ensure they empower all students, with a particular focus on those from marginalized communities.

Practically, implementing transformative pedagogies necessitates substantial shifts within higher education institutions. This includes developing curricula that incorporate diverse perspectives, employing teaching methods that encourage critical thinking and active engagement, and creating assessment tools that truly reflect student learning and growth. Additionally, ongoing professional development for educators is essential so they can effectively apply culturally responsive and inclusive practices. Reforming institutional policies to support equity, such as revising admission criteria and enhancing financial support for underrepresented students, is also crucial. Overall, this approach has the potential to enrich the educational experience and foster a more just, equitable society, demanding collaborative efforts from educators, students, and policymakers.

To continue building on the foundation of re-imagining transformative pedagogies in higher education for social change and equity, future research and practice should focus on several key directions:

- Interdisciplinary Approaches: Encourage interdisciplinary studies and collaborations that bring together insights from education, sociology, psychology, and technology to create more comprehensive and effective pedagogical strategies.
- Innovative Learning Environments: Explore and experiment with innovative learning environments that go beyond traditional classroom settings. This could include online platforms, community-based learning, and experiential learning opportunities that are more inclusive and accessible to a diverse student body.
- Technology Integration: Investigate how technology can be leveraged not just as a tool for delivering content but to foster interactive, participatory, and personalised learning experiences that promote equity and social change.
- Culturally Responsive Teaching: Deepen the understanding and implementation of culturally responsive teaching practices that recognize and value students' diverse cultural backgrounds, thereby promoting inclusivity and reducing educational disparities.
- Critical Pedagogy: Expand research on critical pedagogy approaches that empower students to analyze societal structures and engage in social change activities critically. This involves encouraging students to become active participants in their learning journey and the world around them.
- Assessment and Evaluation: Rethink assessment and evaluation methods to ensure they fairly measure student learning and progress without reinforcing existing inequalities. This could involve more formative assessments and reflective practices that support student growth and learning.
- Policy and Institutional Change: Advocate for policy and institutional changes that support the implementation of transformative pedagogies. This includes securing funding, revising curriculum standards, and creating supportive environments for educators to innovate and experiment.
- Community Engagement and Service Learning: Strengthen the connection between higher education institutions and their surrounding communities through service learning and community engagement initiatives. This approach can provide students with real-world experiences that enhance their learning and contribute to social change.
- Professional Development for lecturers: Offer ongoing professional development opportunities for lecturers in higher education institutions to learn about and adopt transformative pedagogical practices. This includes workshops, seminars, and collaborative learning communities focused on equity and social justice in education.
- Global Perspectives: Incorporate global perspectives into the curriculum to prepare students to think critically about international issues and understand their roles as global citizens. This involves fostering partnerships with institutions and organizations around the world to facilitate cross-cultural learning experiences.

REFERENCES

Ajani, O. A. (2023). Decolonisation of pre-service teachers' education curriculum for equity and social justice in higher education. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, (3(39)). https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ijitss/30092023/8029

Ajani, O. A., & Simmonds, S. (2022). Decolonising pre-service teacher education toward equity and inclusivity: Imagining transformative curriculum through socially just pedagogies. *Alternation*. https://doi.org/10.29086/2519-5476/2022/sp39a3

Aldawood, D. M. (2020). A Freirean re-imagining of the transformational model of human rights education. In *Probleme ale ştiinţelor socioumanistice şi modernizării învăţământului* (pp. 115–128).

Atkins, L., & Duckworth, V. (2019). *Research methods for social justice and equity in education*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Bell, S. H. A. R. O. N. (2019). Re-imagining the university as an anchor institution. *Transform: The Journal of Engaged Scholarship*, 1, 9–16. https://engagementaustralia.org.au

Boylan, M., Adams, G., Perry, E., & Booth, J. (2023). Re-imagining transformative professional learning for critical teacher professionalism: A conceptual review. *Professional Development in Education, 49*(4), 651–669. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2022.2162566

Bozalek, V., & Zembylas, M. (2017). Re-imagining socially just pedagogies in higher education: The contribution of contemporary theoretical perspectives. *Education as Change, 21*(2), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.17159/1947-9417/2017/3051

Briffett Aktaş, C. (2024). Enhancing social justice and socially just pedagogy in higher education through participatory action research. *Teaching in Higher Education, 29*(1), 159–175. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2021.1966619

Campbell, H. (2022). Hip hop as critical pedagogy: Re-imagining education. *Canadian Journal for New Scholars in Education/Revue canadienne des jeunes chercheures et chercheurs en éducation, 13*(3), 106–113. https://journalhosting.ucalgary.ca/index.php/cjnse/article/view/76558

Cappiali, T. M. (2023). A paradigm shift for a more inclusive, equal, and just academia? Towards a transformative-emancipatory pedagogy. *Education Sciences, 13*(9), 876. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13090876

Carmichael-Murphy, P., & Gabi, J. (2021). (Re)imagining a dialogic curriculum: Humanizing and epistemically liberating pedagogies. *Race and Pedagogy Journal: Teaching and Learning for Justice, 5*(2), 1–18. https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/630349/

Colpani, G., Mascat, J. M., & Smiet, K. (2022). Postcolonial responses to decolonial interventions. *Postcolonial Studies, 25*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/13688790.2022.2041695

de Kadt, E. (2020). Promoting social justice in teaching and learning in higher education through professional development. *Teaching in Higher Education, 25*(7), 872–887. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2019.1617685

Hlatshwayo, M. N., & Shawa, L. B. (2020). Towards a critical re-conceptualization of the purpose of higher education: The role of Ubuntu-Currere in re-imagining teaching and learning in South African higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development, 39*(1), 26–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1670146

Kalisz, D. E. (2024). Beyond education: Scenarios of the re-imagined higher education from the future. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1005844

Lupinacci, J. J. (2020). Teacher education in a dangerous time: (Re) imagining education for diversity, democracy and sustainability. *Northwest Journal of Teacher Education, 15*(2), 12. https://doi.org/10.15760/nwjte.2020.15.2.12

Mejia-Quijano, E. A. (2015). Immigrant teachers as intercultural workers: Stories on agency and hope (Doctoral dissertation). University of Georgia.

Mendoza, B. (2020). Decolonial theories in comparison. *Journal of World Philosophies, 5*(1), 43–60. https://scholarworks.iu.edu/iupjournals/index.php/jwp/article/view/3600

Mignolo, W. (2011). Crossing gazes and the silence of the "Indians": Theodor de Bry and Guaman Poma de Ayala. *Journal of Medieval and Early Modern Studies, 41*(1), 173–223. https://doi.org/10.1215/10829636-2010-016

Mignolo, W. D. (2014). Further thoughts on (de) coloniality. In *Postcoloniality-decoloniality-black critique: Joints and fissures* (pp. 21–52).

Moja, T., Luescher, T. M., & Schreiber, B. (2015). Equity and social justice in higher education. *Journal of Student Affairs in Africa, 3*(2), 10–11. https://doi.org/10.14426/jsaa.v3i2.131

Mullooly, S. (2022). Transforming international higher education in a post-COVID world: Using the methodologies of futures thinking and arts-based research to amplify students' voices. *Transformative Dialogues: Teaching and Learning Journal, 15*(2). https://doi.org/10.26209/td2022vol15iss21766

Ncanywa, T. (2023). Eurocentric, Westernized, or Afrocentric curriculum in one university in the Eastern Cape: An entrepreneurship approach. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science, 12*(10), 113–120. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v12i10.3093

Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2015). Decoloniality as the future of Africa. *History Compass, 13*(10), 485–496. https://doi.org/10.1111/hic3.12264

Ngubane, N. I., & Makua, M. (2022). Intersection of Ubuntu pedagogy and social justice: Transforming South African higher education. https://doi.org/10.4102/the.v6i0.113

Nxumalo, F., & Adair, J. K. (2019). Social justice and equity in early childhood education. In *The Wiley handbook of early childhood care and education* (pp. 661–681).

Osman, R., & Hornsby, D. J. (2017). Transforming higher education: Towards a socially just pedagogy. In *Transforming teaching and learning in higher education: Towards a socially just pedagogy in a global context* (pp. 1–14). https://doi.org/10.1080/10911359.2018.1476641

Pálsdóttir, A., & Jóhannsdóttir, L. (2021). Signs of the United Nations SDGs in university curriculum: The case of the University of Iceland. *Sustainability, 13*(16), 8958. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su13168958

Peterson, J. F., Ka'ai, T., Smith, V., & McPherson, K. (2023). Re-imagining student success: Integrating strategy and action through an Indigenous lens. *Art, Design & Communication in Higher Education, 22*(2), 209–239. https://doi.org/10.1386/adch_00075_1

Schwittay, A. (2023). Teaching critical hope with creative pedagogies of possibilities. *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2023.2187439

Singh, C. (2017). Contemplative pedagogy and practices in higher education: A tool for transformative learning, youth development and social change. *International Education and Research Journal, 3*(5), 321–324. https://ierj.in/journal/index.php/ierj/article/view/889

Thompsett, F. (2017). Pedagogies of resistance: Free universities and the radical re-imagination of study. *Learning and Teaching, 10*(1), 24–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.3167/latiss.2017.100103

Vally, S. (2023). Reimagining university–community engagement. *South African Journal of Higher Education, 37*(1), 53–71. https://doi.org/10.20853/37-1-5681

Young, H. (2024). Beyond tick boxes: Re-imagining education for sustainable development in higher education. *Professional Development in Education*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2024.2394879

Yountae, A. (2020). A decolonial theory of religion: Race, coloniality, and secularity in the Americas. *Journal of the American Academy of Religion, 88*(4), 947–980. https://doi.org/10.1093/jaarel/lfaa057

Author (s):

* Ntsika Dyantyi (Corresponding Author)
Department of Business Management Education, Faculty of Education,
Walter Sisulu University,
East London 5200, South Africa
Email: ntdyantyi@wsu.ac.za

Bulelwa Mkabile-Masebe
Department of Business Management Education, Faculty of Education,
Walter Sisulu University,
East London 5200, South Africa
Email: bmkabile@wsu.ac.za